



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/462,703	06/05/1995	GARY D. HODGEN	SCH1309-C1	7915
23599 7	7590 04/28/2003			
•	HITE, ZELANO & B	RANIGAN, P.C.	ЕХАМГ	NER
2200 CLAREN SUITE 1400	NDON BLVD.		WEBMAN, E	EDWARD J
ARLINGTON.	, VA 22201			
•			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	· ~
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2003	24

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	08/462707 HUDGEN
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit
	WEBMAN 1617
-The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address-
Period for Reply	7
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SEOF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by def	FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. fault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	12/
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	12/3/02
☐ This action is FINAL.	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance excaccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 	cept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
Claim(s) 42 -1 07	is/are pending in the application. $1-54$, $56-107$ is/are withdrawn from consideration
Of the above claim(s) $42 - 45$, 5	1-54,56-107 is/are withdrawn from consideration
□ Claim(s) 49, 50, 55	is/are allowed.
Claim(s) 47, 30, 33	is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s) 47, 36, 35	
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved □ disapproved.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved □ disapproved.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. bjected to by the Examiner.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. bjected to by the Examiner.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received.	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. It wing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. Dijected to by the Examiner. It wing review, PTO-948. It is approved to disapproved. It is approved to by the Examiner. It is approved to by the Examiner. It is approved to by the Examiner. It is approved to by the Examiner.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign prioriti ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. It wing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. Dijected to by the Examiner. It under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). It is of the priority documents have been
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) ■ Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. It wing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. bjected to by the Examiner. by under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been Imber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) ■ Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. awing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. bjected to by the Examiner. by under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been amber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) ■ Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. awing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. bjected to by the Examiner. by under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been amber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu ☐ received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received:	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. awing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. bjected to by the Examiner. by under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been amber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

i Arti

Application/Control Number: 08/462,703

Art Unit: 1617

Applicant's election with traverse of group I, a method of contraception, the method using progestin and an antiprogestin without estrogen, and continuous administration of progestin in Paper Nos. 48,53 are acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that no undue burden and all claims have been previously examined. This is not found persuasive because burden is shown by the different classification of the groups. As to the argument that all claims have been previously examined, the examiner can reconsider his position regarding the application of a restriction requirement at any point prior to final rejection. Regarding the elections of species, applicants can overcome them simply by stating on the record that the species are equivalent. However, a rejection over one species shall then apply to all.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 49-50, 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hodgen '943.

Hodgen '943 discloses a method of contraception using progestin and an antiprogestin (column 2 lines 64-64). (Continuous delivery of progestin and discontinuous delivery of antiprogestin are specified (column 5 lines 4-12).

No claims allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward Webman whose telephone number is 308-4432. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Padmanabhan can be reached on 305-1877. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 305-3592.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-1234.

Webman/tgd April 8, 2003

